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Evidence Supporting the Recommendations

References Supporting the Recommendations


Type of Evidence Supporting the Recommendations

The type of supporting evidence is identified and graded for each recommendation (see the “Major Recommendations” field).

Implementation of the Guideline

Description of Implementation Strategy

Applicability Issues

Recommendation adherence will require the support of administration, unit managers, and nursing leaders to act as champions of change. It will be important for this support team and nursing staff to
understand and be able to articulate the identified goals and outcomes to be achieved by implementing bedside nursing report to the nursing staff. Creating a standardized reporting sheet, which will include a head to toe assessment report, electronic medical record check, patient plan of care check, safety check, and introductory cues for communicating with the patient and family, will support the implementation of this change. In addition, patient assignments should be allocated to the same nurse if possible, to help with clustering report. Providing staff with adequate time to become accustomed to the new report methods and also encouraging their feedback can help resolve issues and identify areas of concern and assist them in the transition.

**Implementation Tools**

Audit Criteria/Indicators

**Benefits/Harms of Implementing the Guideline Recommendations**

**Potential Benefits**

- Increased patient/family satisfaction during hospitalization

**Potential Harms**

- Not stated

**Rating Scheme for the Strength of the Recommendations**

**Table of Language and Definitions for Recommendation Strength**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Language for Strength</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>It is strongly...</td>
<td>When the dimensions for judging the strength of the evidence are applied, there is high support that benefits clearly outweigh risks and burdens (or vice versa for negative recommendations).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It is strongly...</td>
<td>When the dimensions for judging the strength of the evidence are applied, there is moderate support that benefits are closely balanced with risks and burdens.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It is...</td>
<td>There is insufficient evidence and a lack of consensus to make a recommendation...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** See the original guideline document for the dimensions used for judging the strength of the recommendation.

**Qualifying Statements**

**Qualifying Statements**

This Best Evidence Statement addresses only key points of care for the target population; it is not intended to be a comprehensive practice guideline. These recommendations result from review of literature and practices current at the time of their formulation. This Best Evidence Statement does not
preclude using care modalities proven efficacious in studies published subsequent to the current revision of this document. This document is not intended to impose standards of care preventing selective variances from the recommendations to meet the specific and unique requirements of individual patients. Adherence to this Statement is voluntary. The clinician in light of the individual circumstances presented by the patient must make the ultimate judgment regarding the priority of any specific procedure.

Methodology

Methods Used to Collect/Select the Evidence
Searches of Electronic Databases

Description of Methods Used to Collect/Select the Evidence
Search Strategy
- Databases: PubMed, Cochrane Library, CINAHL, OVID MEDLINE
- Search Terms: Nursing; handoff; shift report; patient satisfaction; bedside handoff
- Limits, Filters: English language, Search dates: 2006 to 2013
- Date last searched: February 26, 2013

Number of Source Documents
Not stated

Methods Used to Assess the Quality and Strength of the Evidence
Weighting According to a Rating Scheme (Scheme Given)

Rating Scheme for the Strength of the Evidence
Table of Evidence Levels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quality Level</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1a† or 1b†</td>
<td>Systematic review, meta-analysis, or meta-synthesis of multiple studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2a or 2b</td>
<td>Best study design for domain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3a or 3b</td>
<td>Fair study design for domain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4a or 4b</td>
<td>Weak study design for domain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5a or 5b</td>
<td>General review, expert opinion, case report, consensus report, or guideline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Local Consensus</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

†a = good quality study; b = lesser quality study

Methods Used to Analyze the Evidence
Systematic Review

Description of the Methods Used to Analyze the Evidence
Not stated

Methods Used to Formulate the Recommendations
Expert Consensus

Description of Methods Used to Formulate the Recommendations
Not stated

Cost Analysis
One published study showed that bedside shift report was shown to decrease overtime by nurses.

Method of Guideline Validation
Peer Review

Description of Method of Guideline Validation
This Best Evidence Statement (BEST) has been reviewed against quality criteria by two independent...
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Scope

Disease/Condition(s)
Any disease or condition requiring hospitalization

Guideline Category
Management

Clinical Specialty
Nursing
Pediatrics

Intended Users
Advanced Practice Nurses
Hospitals
Nurses

Guideline Objective(s)
To evaluate, among patients and families, if implementation of bedside nurse to nurse shift report versus a non-bedside nurse to nurse shift report increase patient/family satisfaction during hospitalization

Target Population
All hospitalized patients; if under age of 18, with caregiver present

Note: Patients/parents electing not to participate were excluded.

Interventions and Practices Considered
Bedside nurse to nurse shift reporting

Major Outcomes Considered
- Patient/family satisfaction during hospitalization
- Medication errors
- Patient falls

Recommendations

Major Recommendations
The strength of the recommendation (strongly recommended, recommended, or no recommendation) and the quality of the evidence (1aâ5b) are defined at the end of the "Major Recommendations" field.

It is recommended that nurses perform bedside shift to shift report to increase patient/family satisfaction (Maxson et al., 2012 [4a]; Radtke, 2013 [4a]; Sand-Jecklin & Sherman, 2013 [4a]; Tidwell et al., 2011 [4a]; Thomas & Donohue-Porter, 2012 [4b]).

Definitions:

**Table of Evidence Levels**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quality Level</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1a† or 1b†</td>
<td>Systematic review, meta-analysis, or meta-synthesis of multiple studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2a or 2b</td>
<td>Best study design for domain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3a or 3b</td>
<td>Fair study design for domain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4a or 4b</td>
<td>Weak study design for domain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5a or 5b</td>
<td>General review, expert opinion, case report, consensus report, or guideline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Local Consensus</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

†a = good quality study; b = lesser quality study

**Table of Language and Definitions for Recommendation Strength**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Language for Strength</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>It is strongly</td>
<td>When the dimensions for judging the strength of the evidence are applied, there is high support that benefits clearly outweigh risks and burdens (or vice versa for negative recommendations).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>recommended</td>
<td>that…</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It is strongly</td>
<td>When the dimensions for judging the strength of the evidence are applied, there is moderate support that benefits are closely balanced with risks and burdens.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>recommended</td>
<td>that… not…</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It is</td>
<td>When the dimensions for judging the strength of the evidence are applied, there is insufficient evidence and a lack of consensus to make a recommendation…</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>recommended</td>
<td>that… not…</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: See the original guideline document for the dimensions used for judging the strength of the recommendation.

**Clinical Algorithm(s)**

None provided

**Institute of Medicine (IOM) National Healthcare Quality Report Categories**

**IOM Care Need**

Getting Better

**IOM Domain**

Effectiveness

Patient-centeredness

Safety
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